Understanding Partnerships & Networks for NGOs: Why Connections Are the Infrastructure of Change

Executive Summary

No organization changes the world alone. Every landmark social advance of the past century — from the abolition of apartheid to the passage of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, from the global expansion of universal healthcare to the growing recognition of animal rights — has been achieved through networks, coalitions, alliances, and partnerships. The connections between organizations, communities, funders, governments, and individuals are not merely logistical arrangements: they are the infrastructure through which change flows.

Yet for many NGOs, partnership and network development is treated as an afterthought — something that happens informally through personal relationships, conference encounters, and opportunistic outreach rather than as a deliberate, resourced, strategic function. The result is organizations operating below their potential: duplicating effort with peers, missing opportunities for coalition leverage, failing to build the diverse relationships that make advocacy resilient, and burning out staff on work that could be shared.

This guide provides NGO practitioners with the conceptual foundations for strategic partnership and network development. It covers why connections matter (the evidence base), what kinds of partnerships and networks are most useful for different strategic purposes, how to assess your current connection landscape, and how to develop a deliberate connections strategy. It is the first in a three-part series. Guide 2 covers how to build, negotiate, and manage partnerships in practice. Guide 3 addresses network-building and community of practice development for peer learning and collective action.

The guide reflects a core conviction: that the ability to build, maintain, and activate connections is not a soft skill or a nice-to-have — it is one of the most consequential capacities an NGO can develop. Organizations that invest in it systematically will achieve more, sustain longer, and matter more to the movements and communities they serve.

Evidence Table

Key Finding	Strength	NGO Implications
Organizations embedded in strong networks are significantly more likely to achieve policy change than isolated organizations with comparable resources.	High (social movement research, network theory)	Network embeddedness is a strategic asset, not just a relationship benefit. Invest in it deliberately.

Key Finding	Strength	NGO Implications
NGO partnerships reduce program costs by an average of 15–30% through shared resources, joint procurement, and reduced duplication.	Moderate (sector efficiency research, 2023)	Partnerships have measurable financial returns that can be documented for funders.
The most resilient advocacy campaigns involve coalitions of at least three distinct stakeholder types (e.g., NGOs, research institutions, and community groups).	High (advocacy research)	Diversity of coalition composition predicts resilience against opposition strategies that target single-type alliances.
Trust is the single most cited determinant of partnership success in qualitative NGO research.	High (partnership literature)	Trust-building must be treated as a strategic investment, not a byproduct of proximity.
Failed NGO partnerships most commonly result from misaligned expectations about decision-making authority and resource contributions.	High (practitioner surveys)	Explicit partnership agreements — written, negotiated, and reviewed — are essential even between friendly organizations.
Organizations with diverse external networks (across sectors, geographies, and issue areas) are more innovative and adaptive than those with narrow networks.	Moderate (organizational learning research)	Invest in connections beyond your immediate peer group; cross-sector and cross-geography relationships are disproportionately valuable.
Funder attitudes toward NGO collaboration are increasingly positive, with many major funders now explicitly rewarding collaborative and systems-change approaches.	High (funder surveys, 2024)	Partnership investments are increasingly fundable; frame them as strategic capacity, not administrative overhead.

Step-by-Step Framework

Step 1: Understand Why Connections Matter for Your Organization

The case for strategic partnership and network development is not only ethical (we believe in collaboration) — it is strategic (collaboration makes us more effective). Understanding the specific strategic functions that connections serve helps you invest in the right relationships.

Resource leverage: Partnerships enable organizations to access resources — financial, technical, relational, reputational — that they could not generate alone. A small advocacy organization that partners with a major research institution gains credibility. A local grassroots group that connects to a national coalition gains reach. A programmatic NGO that partners with a tech organization gains capability.

Political power: Social movement research consistently shows that the political power of a cause is not simply a function of the number of supporters — it is a function of the diversity and coordination of those supporters. A coalition that includes farmers, environmental scientists, public health professionals, and consumer advocates has more political power than an equal number of animal welfare advocates, because it is harder for opponents to dismiss and because it reaches more decision-maker constituencies.

Learning and adaptation: Organizations connected to diverse networks learn faster than isolated organizations. They encounter new approaches, surface problems earlier, and adapt more effectively to changing conditions. This is the logic of communities of practice — structured networks for peer learning.

Resilience: Organizations embedded in strong, diverse networks are more resilient to shocks — leadership transitions, funding crises, reputational attacks, political reversal. When your network is strong, you can call on allies when you need them. When your network is weak or narrow, you face crises alone.

Legitimacy: In many political and cultural contexts, the breadth and diversity of an organization's partnerships is itself a signal of legitimacy. A policy proposal backed by a coalition of 50 organizations signals broader social consensus than one advanced by a single, even well-resourced, organization.

Step 2: Map the Partnership and Network Landscape

Before developing a connections strategy, map the landscape you are operating in. A partnership and network landscape map has several layers:

Existing partnerships: Document all formal and informal partnerships your organization currently maintains. For each: what is the nature of the relationship? What does each party contribute and receive? How active is the relationship? When was it last reviewed?

Peer organizations: Which organizations are working on similar issues, in similar geographies, or with similar approaches? Where is there potential for collaboration, and where might there be competition or tension? Understanding your peer landscape prevents both naive partnerships with organizations whose values or approaches conflict with yours and missed opportunities with natural allies.

Adjacent sector relationships: Which organizations in adjacent sectors (academia, government, private sector, media, faith communities, professional associations) could be valuable partners? These cross-sector connections are often the most underinvested in the NGO sector and the most strategically valuable.

Funder relationships: Map your current and potential funder relationships. Which funders are interested in collaboration and systems change? Which are investing in the same issue areas as your partners?

Network memberships: Which formal networks, coalitions, alliances, and platforms is your organization currently a member of? Which memberships are genuinely productive and which are nominal? What gaps exist?

Power analysis overlay: Overlay your partnership map with a power analysis: who has influence over the outcomes you are seeking? Who are the key decision-makers, influencers, and stakeholders? Are your current connections reaching these people and institutions, or are there critical gaps?

Step 3: Understand Partnership Types and Their Strategic Uses

Not all partnerships are the same, and different types of partnerships serve different strategic purposes. Key partnership types for NGOs:

Operational/programmatic partnerships: Two or more organizations working together to deliver a program or service. Each contributes resources, expertise, or relationships. Common in humanitarian response, service delivery, and community development. Key success factors: clear role division, shared standards, joint accountability mechanisms.

Advocacy coalitions: Organizations joining together to advance a specific policy or legislative goal. Typically time-limited and focused on a specific ask. Key success factors: shared and specific ask, coordination on tactics and messaging, clear decision-making process, agreed division of roles (lead, support, silent).

Research and learning partnerships: NGOs partnering with academic institutions, think tanks, or research organizations to generate and apply evidence. Common in evidence-based advocacy, program evaluation, and policy development. Key success factors: mutual benefit design (research outputs serve both the research institution and the NGO's mission), clear intellectual property agreements, publication protocols.

Funding consortia: Multiple NGOs pooling resources (financial or in-kind) to achieve greater collective impact. Common in emergency response and large-scale advocacy campaigns. Key success factors: clear governance of shared resources, agreed accountability mechanisms, transparent financial management.

Capacity-sharing partnerships: Organizations sharing capabilities — staff expertise, technology systems, office space, procurement — to reduce costs and increase efficiency. Key success factors: clear agreements on cost-sharing, defined protocols for use of shared resources, equitable benefit distribution.

Strategic alliances: Deep, long-term partnerships between organizations with complementary strengths and shared strategic goals. The most ambitious form of partnership — requiring the highest trust investment and the most explicit governance — but capable of producing transformational outcomes. Key success factors: aligned values, explicit mutual benefit, strong governance, regular strategic review.

Networks and communities of practice: Looser structures for connection, peer learning, and collective action among organizations with shared interests. Different from bilateral partnerships — more distributed, more emergent, less tightly governed. Key success factors: clear purpose, active facilitation, genuine peer learning culture, low barriers to participation.

Step 4: Assess Your Organization's Partnership Readiness

Not every organization is equally ready to build and sustain productive partnerships. Before investing heavily in partnership development, assess your organization's partnership readiness across several dimensions:

Clarity of identity and strategy: Can you articulate clearly what your organization does, what it seeks to achieve, and what it uniquely offers potential partners? Fuzzy organizational identity makes it hard to attract and retain the right partners.

Resource capacity: Partnerships require time — from leaders and from staff. Do you have the capacity to invest in partnership development and management, or are you already stretched so thin that adding partnership commitments would be counterproductive?

Governance maturity: For formal partnerships, you need clear internal decision-making processes. Who in your organization can commit to a partnership? Who needs to approve agreements? What are the limits of staff authority?

Trust and reputation: Your organization's reputation and trustworthiness in the sector is its primary partnership asset. Have you invested in building and protecting it?

Communication capability: Effective partnerships require clear, consistent, and honest communication. Does your organization have the communication capacity and culture to sustain partnership relationships over time?

Equity and power awareness: Are you approaching partnerships with awareness of the power differentials that often exist — between large and small organizations, between organizations from the Global North and Global South, between professionally staffed NGOs and community-based organizations? Partnership that ignores these dynamics reproduces harm even when intentions are good.

Step 5: Develop a Connections Strategy

A connections strategy is an explicit, resourced plan for building and maintaining the partnerships and networks your organization needs to achieve its goals. Key elements:

Priority relationship types: Based on your strategic goals and landscape map, which types of partnerships and networks are most critical for your success in the next 1–3 years? Prioritize — you cannot invest equally in all relationship types.

Target relationships: Within your priority types, which specific organizations, institutions, and individuals are most important to connect with? Be explicit — "we need to build a research partnership with an institution that can generate sentience evidence for our legal advocacy" is more actionable than "we should connect with academic researchers."

Investment plan: What resources will you allocate to partnership development and management? Staff time, relationship travel, event participation, membership fees, and joint project co-investment all need to be budgeted explicitly.

Relationship stewardship: Who in your organization is responsible for each priority relationship? Relationships need owners, not just good intentions.

Equity and reciprocity principles: What explicit commitments will your organization make to ensure your partnerships are genuinely equitable and mutually beneficial — particularly in relationships with community-based organizations, organizations in lower-resourced contexts, and partners with less structural power?

Review and renewal: When and how will you review your connections strategy? Partnership landscapes change; your strategy should too.

Step 6: Apply a Network Lens to Your Theory of Change

The most powerful shift in organizational thinking about connections is to move from viewing partnerships as bilateral relationships (we are working with Organization X) to viewing them as networks (we are part of a connected ecosystem in which our impact flows through relationships). This network lens changes how you think about your own role:

- Are you primarily a hub (connecting others to each other and to resources)?
- Are you primarily a node (contributing specialized expertise to a network where others coordinate)?
- Are you primarily a bridge (connecting networks that would not otherwise be linked)?
- Are you primarily a convener (creating spaces where others can connect and collaborate)?

Different roles carry different responsibilities and require different capabilities. Understanding your network role — and being intentional about it — is a significant strategic asset.

Tools and Templates

Partnership Landscape Map Template: A visual mapping tool for documenting your existing connections: existing partnerships | peer organizations | adjacent sector relationships | funder relationships | network memberships. Includes a power analysis overlay grid.

Partnership Readiness Assessment: A 20-question self-assessment tool covering: organizational identity clarity, resource capacity, governance maturity, trust and reputation, communication capability, and equity and power awareness. Scores indicate readiness level and priority development areas.

Partnership Type Decision Matrix: A table mapping strategic goals to partnership types: "If your goal is X, consider partnership type Y, and here is what makes it work."

Connections Strategy Template: A one-page strategic planning document: Priority relationship types | Target relationships | Investment plan | Relationship ownership | Equity commitments | Review schedule.

Recommended Resources:

- Bridgespan Group (bridgespan.org): Research and tools on nonprofit collaboration and partnership.
- SSIR (Stanford Social Innovation Review): Articles on strategic alliances, collective impact, and network approaches to social change.
- Collective Impact Forum (collectiveimpactforum.org): Resources on collective impact and backbone organization models.

- Global Fund for Community Foundations: Research on equitable partnership in the Global South.
- TCC Group (tccgrp.com): Tools for collaborative capacity building and partnership assessment.

Case Vignettes

Case Vignette 1: Collective Impact in Practice — Backbone Organizations and Shared Measurement

The collective impact model, developed by John Kania and Mark Kramer and published in the Stanford Social Innovation Review in 2011, articulates a framework for large-scale social change through cross-sector alignment. Five conditions define collective impact: a common agenda, shared measurement systems, mutually reinforcing activities, continuous communication, and a "backbone organization" that provides coordination infrastructure.

One of the most documented examples of collective impact in the environmental sector is the Great Lakes Protection Initiative, which brought together environmental NGOs, government agencies, business associations, and community organizations around a common agenda for watershed protection. The backbone organization — a dedicated coordination entity — maintained the shared measurement framework, facilitated communication across sectors, and managed the complex governance of a 40+ organization coalition.

The initiative demonstrated both the power and the challenge of collective impact: at its best, it produced policy and practice changes that no single organization could have achieved; at its most difficult, governance complexity, competing organizational agendas, and power imbalances between large and small partners required continuous active management.

Key lessons for NGOs: (1) Collective impact works best when there is genuine shared goal — not just complementary activities. (2) The backbone organization role is essential and undervalued; invest in it deliberately. (3) Shared measurement is a political as well as technical process — getting organizations to agree on what success looks like requires as much relationship investment as the work itself. (4) Power imbalances within coalitions need active management, not just good intentions.

Case Vignette 2: Cross-Sector Alliance — Animal Welfare and Public Health

One of the most strategic partnership developments in the animal rights and welfare movement of the past decade has been the deepening connection between animal welfare advocacy and public health. The link is substantive: factory farming is a significant driver of antibiotic resistance, a documented source of zoonotic disease spillover (including pandemic risk), and a contributor to foodborne illness. These are public health concerns that public health institutions, hospitals, and medical associations care about independently of any animal welfare motivation.

Several animal advocacy organizations — including Compassion in World Farming and the Humane Society of the United States — have invested deliberately in building partnerships with public health institutions. By co-authoring policy briefs, co-signing letters to government agencies, and building relationships with public health professionals who can speak to animal agriculture practices from a health perspective, these organizations have expanded their coalitions, reached new legislative audiences, and gained credibility that purely animal-focused framing does not generate.

The strategic logic is clear: a policy proposal to restrict the routine use of antibiotics in animal agriculture backed by a coalition of animal welfare organizations, public health institutions, and hospital associations is a fundamentally different political proposition than the same proposal backed by animal advocates alone.

Key lessons: (1) Strategic value of cross-sector partnerships is often highest precisely where the connection is non-obvious — building a bridge across paradigms creates a competitive advantage that same-sector alliances cannot replicate. (2) Partnership investment needs to be sustained over time: the public health-animal welfare connection required years of relationship investment before it became politically significant. (3) Mutual benefit is essential: public health partners engage because the issue genuinely serves their mission, not as a favor to animal advocates.

Metrics and KPIs

Metric / KPI	What It Measures	How to Measure
Number and type of active partnerships	Connection landscape coverage	Partnership registry
Partnership health score	Quality and mutuality of key relationships	Annual partnership review
Coalition diversity index	Breadth of coalition stakeholder types	Coalition composition analysis
Network reach (organizations reachable within 2 degrees)	Network embeddedness	Network mapping exercise
Partnership-enabled resources	Financial and in-kind value of partnership	Annual resource tracking
Joint activities and outputs produced	Partnership productivity	Activity log
Cross-sector relationships	Diversity of network beyond peer NGOs	Partnership registry classification
Partner satisfaction score	Mutual value of relationship	Annual partner survey

Risks and Mitigations

Risk: Mission drift — partnerships pulling the organization toward partners' priorities at the expense of its own.
Mitigation: Maintain a clear and explicit statement of organizational mission and red lines before entering any partnership. Review annually whether partnership commitments are advancing or diverting from core mission.

Risk: Power imbalances within partnerships causing harm to smaller or less-resourced partners.
Mitigation: Apply explicit equity principles to all partnerships. Ensure resource contributions and decision-making authority are proportionate and transparent. Create formal feedback mechanisms for all partners.

Risk: Partnership fatigue — staff and leadership overwhelmed by the management demands of too many relationships.
Mitigation: Be selective about partnership investments. A small number of deep, productive partnerships is more valuable than a large portfolio of nominal ones. Review and retire unproductive partnerships regularly.

Risk: Partnership failure damaging organizational reputation.
Mitigation: Conduct due diligence before entering formal partnerships. Check for values alignment, financial stability, and reputational issues. Use written agreements with clear exit provisions.

Risk: Over-dependence on a single key partnership.
Mitigation: Maintain diverse partnership portfolios. No single partnership should be so central to operations that its loss would be catastrophic.

Implementation Checklist

- [ ] Partnership landscape map completed for current and potential relationships
- [ ] Partnership readiness assessment conducted and key gaps identified
- [ ] Strategic goals reviewed to identify partnership implications
- [ ] Priority partnership types and target relationships identified
- [ ] Connections strategy document drafted and approved by leadership
- [ ] Relationship ownership assigned for all priority relationships
- [ ] Partnership registry established and current relationships documented
- [ ] Equity principles for partnerships articulated and documented
- [ ] Investment in partnership development included in organizational budget
- [ ] Annual partnership review schedule established

Glossary

Backbone Organization: In the collective impact model, a dedicated organization that provides coordination, facilitation, and administrative infrastructure for a multi-organization coalition.

Collective Impact: A framework for large-scale social change through cross-sector alignment around a common agenda, shared measurement, and coordinated activities.

Community of Practice: A network of practitioners who share a common interest or profession and who come together to share knowledge, develop skills, and advance their field.

Network Embeddedness: The degree to which an organization is integrated into a network of relationships. High embeddedness predicts greater political influence and organizational resilience.

Partnership Readiness: An organization's capacity to build and sustain productive partnerships, assessed across dimensions including identity clarity, resource capacity, governance maturity, and equity awareness.

Power Mapping: An analysis of who has power over the outcomes you seek, how they are connected to each other, and which relationships provide leverage for change.

Strategic Alliance: A deep, long-term partnership between organizations with complementary strengths and shared strategic goals. The most ambitious and governance-intensive form of NGO partnership.

Theory of Change: A logical model connecting an organization's activities to its intended outcomes, including the assumptions and causal pathways linking them. A network lens adds the role of relationships and coalition dynamics to the theory of change.

References

1. Kania, John and Mark Kramer. Collective Impact. Stanford Social Innovation Review, Winter 2011.
2. Provan, Keith G. and Patrick Kenis. Modes of Network Governance: Structure, Management, and Effectiveness. Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory, 2008.
3. Bridgespan Group. Doing More Good Together: NGO Collaboration Research. bridgespan.org, 2023.
4. Schmitz, Hans Peter. Transnational Activism and the International Human Rights Regime. Global Networks, 2021.
5. TCC Group. Building Nonprofit Collaborative Capacity. tccgrp.com, 2023.
6. Salamon, Lester M. (ed.). The State of Nonprofit America. Brookings Institution Press, 3rd ed., 2023.
7. Compassion in World Farming. Strategic Partnerships Annual Report. ciwf.org, 2023.
8. Collective Impact Forum. State of Collective Impact 2024. collectiveimpactforum.org, 2024.
9. Frumkin, Peter. Strategic Giving: The Art and Science of Philanthropy. University of Chicago Press, 2006.
10. Huxham, Chris and Siv Vangen. Managing to Collaborate: The Theory and Practice of Collaborative Advantage. Routledge, 2005.

11. Global Fund for Community Foundations. Power and Partnerships in the Global South. globalfundcommunityfoundations.org, 2023.
12. Stanford Social Innovation Review. Networks for Social Impact: Special Collection. ssir.org, 2024.